



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

And, in such case, the defendants having put in evidence the testimony of the subscribing witnesses to the will, given when it was admitted to probate, it then devolved upon the plaintiff to show the incompetency of the testator, by proof sufficient to overcome the *prima facie* case made through the testimony of the subscribing witnesses: *Id.*

It is no objection that the attesting witnesses to a will were not present when it was signed by the testator; provided he acknowledged it as his will and requested them to sign as witnesses: *Id.*

WITNESS.

Competency of Persons Parties to the Suit—Construction of Act of 1867.—In a suit to set aside the probate of a will, on the ground of mental incapacity of the testator at the time of making it, two persons were offered as witnesses who were defendants in the suit and devisees under the will. It was objected, on the part of the plaintiffs, that these persons could not be sworn as witnesses, being incompetent as such under the 2d section of the Act of 1867, which objection was overruled, and the parties allowed to testify. *Held*, that in this, the court committed no error; that under this act, these parties were competent witnesses as to facts occurring *after* the death of the testator; that it was proper to allow them to be sworn, and that plaintiff should then have objected to questions relating to matters not occurring since the testator's death: *Holloway et al. v. Galloway et al.*, 51 Ills.

LIST OF NEW LAW BOOKS.

ATTORNEY'S BUSINESS DOCKET, Embodying a System of Bookkeeping, Record Entries, and Paper Filing, especially designed for attorneys. 1 vol., 200 pp., for 200 cases. Springfield, Ill.: E. L. & W. L. Gross; New York: Baker, Voorhies & Co. \$3.

ENGLISH CHANCERY REPORTS.—Reports of Cases in the English Courts of Chancery. With notes and references to English and American decisions. By CH. FRANCIS STONE. Vol. 44, containing Hare's Chancery Reports, vol. 10. New York: Banks & Bros., 1871.

LANSING.—Reports of Cases in the Supreme Court of New York. By A. LANSING. Vol. 2. New York: Banks & Bros., 1871.

NEW YORK.—Reports of Cases in the Court of Appeals. By SAMUEL HAND. Vol. 42. New York: Banks & Bros., 1871.

NORTH CAROLINA.—Reports of Cases in the Supreme Court. By S. F. PHILLIPS. Raleigh: Nichols & German. Shp. \$8.

STORY.—Commentaries on the Law of Bailments. By JOSEPH STORY, LL.D. 8th ed. Revised, corrected, and enlarged by EDMUND H. BENNETT. Boston: Little, Brown & Co. Shp. \$7.50.

SWEENEY.—Reports of Cases in the Superior Court of the City of New York. By JAMES M. SWEENEY. Vol. 1. New York: Banks & Bros., 1871.

VIRGINIA.—Reports of Cases in the Supreme Court of Appeals. By P. R. GRATTAN. Vol. 19. Richmond: C. A. Schaffter, 1870.